

REMARKS

Claims 1-7 were pending in this application. Examiner has rejected these claims 1, 2, 3 and 5 as being anticipated by Pelosi under section 35 USC, 102(b) and rejected
5 claims 6 and 7 as obvious over Pelosi and in view of Young and Cable under section 103(a).

Claims 1 to 7 have been cancelled without prejudice. Hence this rejection is mute. New claims 8 to 14 have been added. Applicant believes these new claims are neither anticipated by the cited prior art nor are obvious over the cited combination of
10 the prior arts. No new matter is, believed to have been, added. Support for these amendments are found throughout the specification. After this amendment, claims 8 to 14 are pending in this application.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, Applicant respectfully submits that new claims 8 to 14 are patentable
5 and that the application is now in a condition for allowance. Accordingly, an early notice of
allowance is respectfully requested. The Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at
310-540-4095 for any reason that would advance the instant application to issue.

Dated this the 5th day of May 2006

Respectfully submitted,



Tara Chand Singhal
Applicant

P O Box 5075
Torrance, California 90510
Telephone: (310) 540-4095

RespToOa01-05-2006